# (Re-)Directing Oligomerization of a Single Building Block into Two Specific Dynamic Covalent Foldamers through pH

Yulong Jin, Pradeep K. Mandal, Juntian Wu, Niklas Böcher, Ivan Huc,\* and Sijbren Otto\*

Cite This: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2023, 145, 2822–2829



ACCESS	Jul Metrics & More	In Article Recommendations	Supporting Information
AUDEUUT			

**ABSTRACT:** Dynamic foldamers are synthetic folded molecules which can change their conformation in response to an external stimulus and are currently at the forefront of foldamer chemistry. However, constitutionally dynamic foldamers, which can change not only their conformation but also their molecular constitution in response to their environment, are without precedent. We now report a size- and shape-switching small dynamic covalent foldamer network which responds to changes in pH. Specifically, acidic conditions direct the oligomerization of a dipeptide-based building block into a 16-subunit macrocycle with well-defined conformation and with high selectivity. At higher pH the same building block yields another cyclic foldamer with a smaller ring size (9mer). The two foldamers readily and repeatedly interconvert upon adjustment of the pH of the



solution. We have previously shown that addition of a template can direct oligomerization of the same building block to yet other rings sizes (including a 12mer and a 13mer, accompanied by a minor amount of 14mer). This brings the total number of discrete foldamers that can be accessed from a single building block to five. For a single building block system to exhibit such highly diverse structure space is unique and sets this system of foldamers apart from proteins. Furthermore, the emergence of constitutional dynamicity opens up new avenues to foldamers with adaptive behavior.

## INTRODUCTION

Downloaded via 141.84.248.11 on February 8, 2023 at 16:29:15 (UTC). See https://pubs.acs.org/sharingguidelines for options on how to legitimately share published articles.

Evolution has produced folded biomacromolecules of impressive structural complexity that now fulfill central roles in biochemistry. In many instances the proper functioning of these molecules (in, for example, catalysis or signal transduction) requires them to change their conformation in response to cues such as binding to signaling molecules or other biomacromolecules or changes in environment.<sup>1</sup> Conformational dynamics can also be engineered into existing proteins through synthetic biology approaches, leading to protein switches which can be modulated by environmental cues such as pH.5-8 Inspired by such structures and their behavior, chemists have designed and synthesized folded molecules from scratch, based on natural building blocks as well as synthetic analogs, mostly targeting helical folds or  $\beta$ sheet mimics.<sup>9–12</sup> More recently, efforts have been directed at developing foldamers that can adopt different conformations, depending on environmental cues such as guest binding or photoirradiation.<sup>13-25</sup> In many cases these systems are switched between helical conformations of different handedness, or between folded and unfolded states. Switching between structurally more different conformations has until now remained rare. $^{26-29}$  Previous studies also showed that macrocycles, catenanes, and knots synthesized by dynamic covalent chemistry are responsive to chemical stimuli or templates.<sup>30-</sup>

We recently exploited a dynamic combinatorial approach<sup>35,36</sup> to foldamer formation,<sup>37,38</sup> using reversible covalent chemistry to oligomerize relatively simple building blocks.<sup>39,40</sup> Folding was found to selectively stabilize specific oligomers, causing the equilibrium to shift in favor of folded molecules. In principle, this approach would allow the discovery of foldamers that not only change their structure but also change their molecular constitution in response to a change in their environment. We already demonstrated previously that guests can direct foldamer formation in dynamic combinatorial libraries prepared from building block 1 (Scheme 1).<sup>39</sup> Under the conditions typically used in aqueous reversible disulfide chemistry (pH around 8) this building block forms predominantly a cyclic nonamer driven by its folding. Upon exposure to suitable guest molecules the equilibrium shifts to produce mainly 12- or 13-membered macrocycles. It was already remarkable that different foldamers could be accessed from a single building block. We now report

Received: September 1, 2022 Published: January 27, 2023





© 2023 The Authors. Published by American Chemical Society

2822

Scheme 1. Emergence of, and pH-Induced Interconversion between Constitutionally Different Foldamers Derived from the Same Monomer  $a^a$ 



"As previously reported,<sup>39</sup> adding MgCl<sub>2</sub> or MnCl<sub>2</sub> as templates directs the oligomerization of building block 1 to produce different foldamers (mixtures of  $\mathbf{1}_{12}$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{13}$  or of  $\mathbf{1}_{13}$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{14}$ ). We now show that building block 1 can form a 16mer at pH 6.0 and can reversibly switch between 16mer and 9mer (which dominates at pH 8.2) as a function of the pH.

that the same building block, at a different pH, gives rise to yet another foldamer, composed of 16 subunits of 1. Upon changing the pH, and provided a sufficient amount of thiolate is present, the system can be switched cleanly and repeatedly between the 9mer and 16mer structures. The switchover takes place over a remarkably narrow pH range. The crystal structures of  $1_9$  and  $1_{16}$  reveal completely different folds and highlight how folding affects the microenvironment of some ionizable groups, which determines how the stability of these two foldamers may be influenced by the pH.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When searching for systems where the environment would affect folding, we homed in on building block 1, as we previously observed that this building block gives rise to a folded nine-membered disulfide-linked macrocycle (Figure 1b) that unfolds relatively readily, indicating that it occupies a relatively shallow energy minimum (Scheme 1).<sup>39</sup> Specifically, significant changes in its NMR spectrum were observed upon heating to 65 °C, which were reverted upon cooling. Thus, compared to the other foldamers we discovered previously that did not undergo such transition, it might be easier to affect folding by changing experimental conditions. Altering the pH would be a suitable environmental perturbation, given the number of ionizable groups of 1 that will be brought into close proximity upon oligomerization and folding.

pH-Dependent Emergence of Two Different Foldamers. Indeed, when allowing oligomerization of building block 1 at pH 6.0, a new 16-membered macrocycle emerged from the small dynamic combinatorial library, eventually occupying more than 85% of the total peak area (Figure 1a and c). This amounts to a 1400-fold amplification of this compound when compared to its amount detected at pH 8.2. We also analyzed the library composition at intermediate pHs, which revealed that the transition from forming one folded structure to forming another was remarkably sharp, within about 0.2 pH unit, reminiscent of a phase transition, suggesting a cooperative process (Figure 1d and Figure S8). Incidentally, the transition occurs near physiological pH.

We further characterized the samples by circular dichroism (CD), showing that  $1_9$  and  $1_{16}$  adopt distinct conformations (Figure 1e). Analysis of the samples prepared at increasing pHs showed that the signal at 222 nm increased and the signal at 254 nm decreased, in agreement with  $1_{16}$  dominating at lower pH and  $1_9$  at higher pH (Figure 1f).

Foldamers 1<sub>9</sub> and 1<sub>16</sub> Can Be Interconverted. Having established that different foldamers emerge at different pH, we probed whether, once formed, these compounds could be interconverted in response to changing the pH. We first prepared  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  at pH 6.0 (in 25 mM phosphate buffer), where it accounted for over 80% of the library material after 5 days, as shown in Figure 1g. We then changed the pH to 8.2 (also in 25) mM phosphate buffer). In order to avoid an increase in ionic strength which would result from the addition of base, we exchanged the buffer using a 3K centrifugal filter, which retains the foldamers while allowing the buffer to pass through. After a washing step, the residue was then taken up in the pH 8.2 buffer, where  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  converted into  $\mathbf{1}_9$  within 1 day, with  $\mathbf{1}_9$ accounting for 63% of the total peak area. Foldamer 19 could be reverted to  $1_{16}$  by reducing the pH back to 6.0 using a similar protocol, while also adding 5 mol% of monomer 1 to ensure efficient disulfide exchange. Comparable results were obtained upon adding 5 mol% tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) into a mixture dominated by  $1_9$  at pH 6.0. In the absence of 1 no interconversion was observed on the same timescale, suggesting that  $\mathbf{1}_9$  is kinetically trapped under these conditions.

The fact that the interconversion of  $\mathbf{1}_9$  to  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  requires addition of thiol, while the reverse process does not, bestows the system with a "memory" of its history. If no thiol is added and the system has been at pH 8.2, it will remain in the  $\mathbf{1}_9$  state when the pH is reduced to 6.0, even though at this pH  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  is its most stable state. We attribute the requirement for addition of thiol when switching between foldamers at pH 6.0 to the reduced availability of thiolate anion (which mediates disulfide exchange) under these more acidic conditions.

The dynamic interconversion between the two foldamers could be repeated without loss in efficiency, reaching essentially identical compositions after each cycle (Figure 1g). We also investigated the dynamic covalent interconversion at a higher salt concentration (50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.0 and 8.2). Under these conditions  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  was smoothly converted to  $\mathbf{1}_{9}$ , but this transition could not be reversed, suggesting that the formation of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  is hampered by a high ionic strength. We speculate that intramolecular salt bridge interactions play a role in the formation of the foldamers and that they are more prevalent in  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  than in  $\mathbf{1}_{9}$ .

Foldamer Characterization by Mass Spectroscopy, Circular Dichroism, and NMR. Ion mobility-mass spectrometry (IM-MS) is a potentially useful tool for obtaining structural information on macromolecules in the gas phase because of its ability to provide the rotationally averaged collision cross-section (CCS) of the molecules, which is related to the extent that they are compacted by folding.<sup>41,42</sup> We subjected a dynamic combinatorial library made from 1 containing a range of different macrocycles to ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC)-IM-MS analysis and determined the CCS of these compounds at different charge states (Figure 1h). Similar to previous observations for a related foldamer system,<sup>39</sup> the CCS of a particular ring



**Figure 1.** Characterization of the pH responsiveness of the constitutional dynamic foldamers. (a) UHPLC chromatograms (absorbance at 254 nm) of a small dynamic combinatorial library made from building block 1 (1.0 mM) in 25 mM phosphate buffer (i) at pH 8.2 at t = 0, (ii) on day 6, and (iii) at pH 6.0 on day 6. Kinetic profiles for the above library at (b) pH 8.2 and (c) pH 6.0. (d) Relative peak areas of  $1_4$ ,  $1_9$ , and  $1_{16}$  as a function of pH; UHPLC chromatograms are shown in Figure S8. (e) CD spectra of the libraries at different pHs. (f) Change of the CD signals characteristic of  $1_9$  (222 nm) and  $1_{16}$  (254 nm) with pH. (g) Interconversion between the  $1_{16}$  and  $1_9$  foldamers in response to a change in pH. Note that addition of 1 is required for converting  $1_9$  to  $1_{16}$ . (h) Collision cross sections of the different macrocycles determined by UPLC-ion mobility-mass spectrometry. All macrocycles that are detected by mass spectrometry have been included in the graph. Symbol shapes indicate the different charge states, with the same ring size in the same color. (i) Temperature-dependent CD data showing the changes of the absolute value of the molar ellipticity at 254 nm of isolated  $1_9$  and  $1_{16}$  upon elevating the temperature from 20 to 90 °C. Samples of  $1_9$  and  $1_{16}$  were prepared in 25 mM phosphate buffer at pH 8.2 and pH 6.0, respectively. Lines between datapoints are drawn to guide the eye.

correlated with its charge state, with larger CCS at higher charge states, in agreement with molecules becoming less compact as intramolecular charge repulsion increases in the gas phase. Given the relatively large effect of the charge state on the CCS and the relatively small range of macrocycles that can be observed with the same charge state, drawing further conclusions from the IM-MS data is difficult.

In order to obtain additional information on the structures of  $1_9$  and  $1_{16}$ , these compounds were purified by semipreparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) analysis indicates a purity higher than 95%, as shown in Figures S9–S11. These samples were then characterized by CD spectroscopy. As shown in Figure S12, the CD spectrum of  $1_{16}$  has an intense positive band at 254 nm, which can be attributed to the absorption by the aromatic dithiol and carboxylphenylalanine side chain. The negative band at 222 nm is attributed to the absorption by the amide bonds. The 9mer shows a negative band at 254 nm and a positive band at 222 nm. The reversal in sign of the CD bands when comparing  $\mathbf{1}_9$  to  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  suggests that the two macrocycles adopt opposite screw senses in their three-dimensional conformation. Importantly, the molar ellipticities (calculated in units of 1) of 19 and 116 are dramatically enhanced compared to those of monomer and tetramer, which suggests that the aromatic rings in  $\mathbf{1}_9$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  reside in well-defined chiral environments in both macrocycles. Temperature-dependent CD experiments showed that the CD signals (254 nm) of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  decreased by only 18% upon heating from 20 to 90 °C, indicating that the 16mer has a high thermostability (Figure 1i, Figure S13). In contrast, the 9mer starts to unfold at a lower temperature (30  $^{\circ}$ C), and the absolute intensity of the CD signal at 254 nm decreases by 68.5% across the same temperature range, indicative of a less stably folded structure (Figure 1i, Figure S14). After a heatcool cycle, the ellipticity of  $1_{16}$  was fully recovered (Figure S13). For  $1_9$ , the signal at 254 nm was also recovered but to a



**Figure 2.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra and pH titrations. (a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of dithiol building block 1 and disulfide macrocycles  $1_4$ ,  $1_9$ , and  $1_{16}$  in  $D_2O$  at room temperature (600 MHz). (b) pH titration of building block 1 and macrocycles  $1_4$ ,  $1_9$ , and  $1_{16}$  in water. The *x*-axis shows the number of equivalents of OH<sup>-</sup> added per monomer. Arrows indicate the buffering regions. Note that the titrations of the different macrocycles started from samples made at different pH values (2.67 for 1, 2.78 for  $1_4$ , 3.21 for  $1_9$ , and 3.48 for  $1_{16}$ ) so that the curves do not overlap.

reduced extent (82%) (Figure S14), providing a further indication of the higher robustness of  $1_{16}$ . Subsequent UHPLC analysis showed that both compounds remained chemically unchanged after the heat–cool cycles.

Solution-phase <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra ( $D_2O_1$ , 298 K) of the two foldamers, as well as of tetramer  $1_4$ , are shown in Figure 2a. The tetramer shows sharp signals which appear in two separate sets, indicative of a  $C_2$  symmetry for this molecule in solution. The protons of the phenyl rings are spread over a wide range of chemical shifts (from 5.5 to 8.2 ppm) suggesting that some of these protons are situated near the face of other aromatic rings. Apparently, this small macrocycle also adopts a specific conformation which is stable on the NMR timescale. The 9mer revealed broad signals indicating a less ordered conformation. In contrast, the 16mer showed remarkably sharp peaks suggesting a well-defined and highly ordered structure in solution. This difference suggests that in solution  $1_9$  has more conformational freedom than  $1_{16}$ . Temperaturedependent CD data (Figure 1i) support this hypothesis as it indicates that  $\mathbf{1}_9$  unfolds more readily upon heating than  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$ . The upfield chemical shift of some phenyl protons detected for  $\mathbf{1}_9$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  indicates that they are close to an aromatic ring. The complexity of the spectrum, combined with the fact that  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$ consists of 16 identical monomer units, makes further structural elucidation by NMR highly challenging.

pH Titrations Suggest Folding Perturbs pK<sub>a</sub> Values. Given the large effects of pH and ionic strength on foldamer formation and interconversion, we decided to perform pH titrations on building block 1 and macrocycles  $1_4$ ,  $1_9$  and  $1_{16}$  in order to determine the  $pK_a$  values of the various ionizable groups in these structures (Figure 2b). First, the stability of the HPLC-purified foldamers ( $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{9}$ , purity higher than 95%) was monitored in an aqueous solution at pH 3.0 and pH 8.2. In the absence of thiol or reducing agent they remained intact for at least 24 h. Titrations started from acidic solutions. The buffering capacity of monomer 1 at around pH 7.0 and pH 10.5 is attributed to proton transfer from and to aromatic thiols  $(pK_a \approx 7)$  and lysine amine groups  $(pK_a \approx 10.5)$ , respectively. For  $\mathbf{1}_9$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  we expected that the deprotonation of the first carboxylic acid residue should occur more readily (i.e., at a lower p $K_a$  than the range of 4.2–4.7 typical of carboxylic acids) as it alleviates the electrostatic repulsion due to the protonated

lysine residues. The buffering region observed below pH 4.0 is likely to correspond to this ionization event. Buffering capacities at pH 5.1 and pH 5.4 were detected for 19 and  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$ , respectively, suggesting an elevated p $K_a$  of the carboxylic acid groups. Such elevated  $pK_a$  values suggest that the carboxylic acid groups are situated closely in space, suppressing their ionization. Less pronounced plateaus were also detected in the range between pH 7.0 and pH 7.5 for  $\mathbf{1}_9$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$ , indicating the existence of a third subset of carboxylic acid groups with much-upshifted  $pK_a$  values. This  $pK_a$  range coincides with the pH range (pH 7.3-7.5) where the switchover between the two foldamers occurs, suggesting that these groups are implicated in causing the difference in stability between the two foldamers at different pHs. In contrast, for the monomer and tetramer no buffering capacity was detected at this pH range, indicating that the  $pK_a$  values of the carboxylic acid groups are less perturbed in these compounds. Note that analysis by HPLC confirmed that all compounds remained unchanged after the pH titration experiments, indicating that no constitutional changes or decomposition occurred during the titrations.

Structural Elucidation by X-ray Crystallography and Rationalization of the pH-Driven Constitutional Switch. Crystals suitable for solving the crystal structure of 19 proved difficult to obtain. Initial attempts yielded crystals that did not diffract better than 1.96 Å. We eventually used a racemic crystallographic approach.<sup>43–45</sup> From the D enantiomer of 1, D-19 was produced, and it was purified and mixed with L-19 to produce a racemic mixture. Crystals obtained from this mixture diffracted at 1.15 Å and made it possible to solve the structure in the P1 space group. The asymmetric unit contained the two enantiomeric macrocycles, though not related by a crystallographic inversion or glide plane, a feature also observed in the racemic crystals of proteins. The structure of the 9mer reveals a new fold (Figure 3). This fold differs from the 15mer, 16mer, and 23mer previously characterized with monomers bearing different side chains than that of 1.39,40 As in these larger foldamers, the 9mer possesses a compact hydrophobic core comprised of dimercaptobenzene units. In the structure, three phenyl rings in positions i, i+2, and i+4 are stacked on top of each other, and this motif is repeated three times, giving an overall triangular shape (Figure 3a). This arrangement



**Figure 3.** Crystal structure of  $1_{9}$ . (a) Color-coded tube representation of the hydrophobic dimercaptobenzene core showing three sets of rings at the *i*, *i*+2, and *i*+4 positions stacked face-to-face. Disulfide bonds are shown in yellow. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. (b) Same view with the benzene rings shown in gray and the disulfide bonds in red or blue, depending on their *M* or *P* chirality, respectively, clearly showing the lack of symmetry of the structure. The unit cell also contains the enantiomer D- $1_{9}$ . (c) An analogous pentagonal structure formed from the assembly of 15 units of a monomer bearing an amino acid and a nucleobase.<sup>40</sup> Note that the chirality of both the monomer formula and the pentadecamer structure has been inverted with respect to the original publication to facilitate the comparison with the structure of  $1_{9}$ .

resembles that of the previously reported 15mer, formed from dimercaptobenzene appended with an amino acid and a nucleobase, which exhibited a similar stack of three aromatics but repeated five times in the structure (Figure 3c).<sup>40</sup> The similarities between these structures suggest that the stacking of aromatic rings in the *i*, i+2, and i+4 positions is a recurrent arrangement amounting to a sort of secondary structure motif in this class of disulfide foldamers. However, while the 15mer structure admitted a pseudo-C5 symmetry axis to generate a symmetrical pentagon with five groups of three inequivalent rings, the structure of 19 adopts no (pseudo)symmetry. Careful examination of the stereochemistry of the disulfide bonds along the macrocycle shows no repeat motif (Figure 3b), indicating that all nine rings are in different environments. One can nevertheless envisage that the C3-symmetrical conformer of 19 exists in solution, possibly along with other stereochemical variations, and that these possible internal dynamics explain the broad NMR spectra. The side chains of the structure of  $\mathbf{1}_9$  were heavily disordered and do not allow us to provide an interpretation of the stability of this fold at higher pH.

In contrast, the crystal structure of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  could be solved with most side chains visible in the final model, including the more flexible lysine side chains. Crystals of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  diffracted at 1.06 Å, and racemic crystallography was not needed in this case. The asymmetric unit (again in the P1 lattice) contains two almost superimposable objects. The disulfide-linked aromatic core adopts a conformation that is essentially identical to that observed in another 16mer formed from another dithiol building block, appended with a Phe(4-guanidinium)-Lys-OH side group (Figure 4a).<sup>39</sup> The phenyl rings all sit in an aromatic box with edge-to-face and face-to-face  $\pi$ -stacking interactions, which contribute to the structural stability of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$ . However, the i, i+2, i+4 motif is absent in this fold. The fact that dissimilar dipeptide side groups can still yield similar folds suggests that folding is to a large degree driven by the conformational preferences of the hydrophobic core of disulfide-linked benzene units. At the same time, the system can be directed to form an altogether different ring size by changing (the degree of protonation of) the side groups. So it appears that the overall ring size is dictated by an interplay between side group interactions and how a given core allows side groups to be arranged. Figure 4b illustrates the extent of the structural and constitutional rearrangement that takes places between  $1_{16}$  and  $1_{9}$ .

In the structure of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$ , the dipeptide units also adopt welldefined conformations and shield the hydrophobic core from contact with water (Figure 4c). Notably, four Phe(4-COOH) aryl carboxylic acid groups buried at the surface of the hydrophobic core point toward the carbonyl groups of benzamide units at such a distance that interactions would be strongly repulsive and destabilizing if they were deprotonated, i.e., in their carboxylate form (Figures 4d and 5b). Presumably, these carboxylic acids are in their protonated form, although protons are not directly assignable in the electron density map, and form hydrogen bonds with the carbonyls. We speculate that, due to these intramolecular hydrogen bonds, deprotonation of these aryl-COOH groups becomes more difficult (leading to an elevated  $pK_a$ , as observed experimentally; vide supra). However, when, upon raising the pH, these groups become deprotonated, the resulting charge repulsion between the carboxylate residues and the nearby amide carbonyl oxygens destabilizes  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$ . This effect is likely a contributor to the constitutional switching between  $1_{16}$  and  $1_{9}$ . These four interactions are found at symmetrical positions in the structure of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  (Figure 4d), which suggests that they are likely to contribute significantly to stabilizing the foldamer structure. A fifth short COO···O contact (thus presumed to be COOH…O) is shown in Figure 5b and may also contribute to the stability of  $1_{16}$ . However, this contact also reflects the absence of symmetry of the overall structure and that some interactions between the dipeptide appendages may form and dissociate in a dynamic fashion in solution. Mirror effects may exist in 19, e.g., hydrogen bonds between carboxylates and amide NHs or lysine ammonium groups that would be destabilizing at lower pH upon protonation of the carboxyl group, but the low quality of the structure did not allow for their identification.

pH Dependence of Foldamer Formation for Building Blocks 2–4. To further investigate the role of building block structure in pH-dependent foldamer formation, other related building blocks 2–4 were synthesized and analyzed as shown in Table 1 and Figures S15–S26. Elongating the sequence of 1 with a histidine residue (building block 2) yielded similar pHdependent foldamer formation, that is, producing a 16mer and a 9mer at pH 6.0 and pH 8.2, respectively. Substituting the Cterminal lysine of 1 with serine-histidine produced building block 3, which forms a 16mer or a tetramer, depending on the pH of the solution. The same was observed for monomer 4, an analogue of 1 terminated with a primary amide instead of a carboxyl group. These results suggest that both the carboxylate





**Figure 4.** Crystal structure of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$ . (a) Superimposition of the hydrophobic dimercaptobenzene core of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  and that of a previously published 16mer made from a dithiol building block appended with a Phe(4-guanidinium)-Lys-OH side group.<sup>39</sup> Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. (b) Scheme illustrating the radical structural and constitutional reversible change between  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{9}$ . (c) Complete view of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$ . The core is shown in space-filling representation in green (benzene rings) and gold (disulfide bonds). Side chains are shown in red (Phe(4-COOH) residues) and blue (Lys residues). (d) Tube representation of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  with the hydrophobic core shown in gray. Side chains have all been removed except for equivalent Phe(4-COOH) residues (in green) whose carboxyl oxygen atoms point toward the carbonyl oxygen atom of a benzamide unit (shown as purple spheres).



**Figure 5.** Specific interactions in the crystal structure of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$ . Enlarged views of Phe(4-COOH) side chains presumed to exist mostly in their protonated form up to pH 7.2. Atoms are shown in space-filling representation except the groups of interest, which are shown in ball-and-stick representation with side chain Phe(4-COOH) and Lys side chains in green and cyan, respectively. Carboxylate oxygen atoms are shown in purple. Some noteworthy distances are indicated.

terminus and lysine residues play roles in the stabilization of 9mers, although this role could not be identified in the structure of  $1_9$ .

# CONCLUSIONS

Folding of oligomeric molecules with ionizable groups can be expected to be sensitive to pH, particularly when these groups

Table 1. Main Products in Dynamic Combinatorial Libraries (DCLs) Prepared from Different Building Blocks at Different pHs

Building block	R-	Ring size dependent on pH	
	HS SH R =	pH 6.0	pH 8.2
1	CO-Phe(4-COOH)-Lys-OH	16	9
2	CO-Phe(4-COOH)-Lys-His-OH	16	9+4
3	CO-Phe(4-COOH)-Ser-His-OH	16	4
4	CO-Phe(4-COOH)-Lys-NH <sub>2</sub>	16	4

are brought into close proximity upon folding, or when they are directly involved in non-covalent interactions. Such sensitivity normally results in pH-induced unfolding. For foldamers that feature dynamic covalent bonds, additional degrees of freedom are provided and other folded states are, in principle, accessible upon a reconfiguration of covalent bonds. Thus, instead of unfolding upon changing the ionization state of the molecule, the system may choose to populate an altogether different foldamer. Indeed, we observed such adaptive behavior in a dynamic combinatorial library (DCL) made from building block 1, which, upon oxidation, formed a folded 16-membered ring at pH 6.0. Titrations suggest that the  $pK_a$  values of a subset of carboxylic acid groups in this foldamer are substantially perturbed ( $pK_a = 7-7.5$ ), whereas carboxylic acids typically have  $pK_as$  of around 4.2-4.7. Thus, in the folded 16mer at pH 6.0, these groups are mostly protonated. Upon raising the pH to 8.2, the extent of deprotonation increases, and with that also the charge repulsion, which contributes to a structural reconfiguration, leading to the formation of a 9-membered foldamer. The pH-induced switching between these two foldamers is fully reversible, provided a sufficient amount of thiolate is present, and occurs over a remarkably narrow pH range, suggesting that folding is a cooperative process. The crystal structure of  $1_9$  revealed a new fold with nine inequivalent rings. The structure of  $\mathbf{1}_{16}$  showed specific interactions involving ionizable functional groups of the side chains that shed light on its higher stability at lower pH. These results extend our previous report that DCLs made from building block 1 can also give rise to 12- and 13membered foldamers, along with small amounts of a 14mer, upon templating with a suitable guest.<sup>39</sup>

Taken together, these observations illustrate the remarkable richness of the behavior of DCLs made from this particular building block, allowing as many as five distinct folded structures to be accessed. We attribute this uniquely diverse foldamer space to the frustration in the structure of this building block, which seems incapable of finding a single highly stable fold in which it maximizes attractive and minimizes repulsive interactions. Instead, the system seems to navigate a relatively shallow energy landscape, where different folded conformations differ only relatively little in stability. Small perturbations are then sufficient to shift the product distribution from one conformation and ring size to another, exemplified by the switchover from one foldamer to another by changing the pH by less than half a pH unit.

The newly discovered structures provide important additional entries into a growing class of macrocyclic disulfide foldamers that exhibit unusual structural complexity. After previous reports of the crystal structures of a 15mer,<sup>40</sup> a 16mer, and a 23mer,<sup>39</sup> we now add a 9mer and a second 16mer. Further populating this class of structures should reveal the rules and, with that, design principles behind this exciting new class of folded molecules. Progress along these lines is being made and will be reported in due course.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c09325.

Experimental details, synthesis procedures, spectroscopic data, and crystallographic data (PDF)

## **Accession Codes**

CCDC 2183369 and 2183384 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif, or by emailing data\_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

## **Corresponding Authors**

Ivan Huc – Department of Pharmacy and Center for Integrated Protein Science, Ludwig-Maximilians Universität, 81377 Munich, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0001-7036-9696; Email: ivan.huc@cup.lmu.de

Sijbren Otto – Centre for Systems Chemistry, Stratingh Institute, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands; orcid.org/0000-0003-0259-5637; Email: s.otto@rug.nl

## Authors

- Yulong Jin Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, CAS Key Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry for Living Biosystems, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100190 Beijing, China; Centre for Systems Chemistry, Stratingh Institute, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands; orcid.org/0000-0003-1359-3274
- Pradeep K. Mandal Department of Pharmacy and Center for Integrated Protein Science, Ludwig-Maximilians Universität, 81377 Munich, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0001-5996-956X

Juntian Wu – Centre for Systems Chemistry, Stratingh Institute, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

Niklas Böcher – Department of Pharmacy and Center for Integrated Protein Science, Ludwig-Maximilians Universität, 81377 Munich, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0003-0808-5355

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.2c09325

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. Charalampos G. Pappas, Dr. Meagan Beatty, Marc Geerts, Ankush Sood, and Prof. Dr. Rui Zhao for fruitful discussions and to Dr. Céline Douat for guidance with synthesis. We thank Dr. A. Popov (ID23-1, ESRF) and Dr. I. Bento (EMBL P13, Petra III, DESY) for assistance during data collection at Synchrotron beamlines. This research was supported by the China Scholarship Council, the EU (ERC AdG 741774), the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science (Gravitation program 024.001.035), and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Project # HU 1766/5-1 to I.H.).

## REFERENCES

(1) Latorraca, N. R.; Venkatakrishnan, A. J.; Dror, R. O. GPCR dynamics: structures in motion. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 139–155.

(2) Nygaard, R.; Zou, Y.; Dror, R. O.; Mildorf, T. J.; Arlow, D. H.; Manglik, A.; Pan, A. C.; Liu, C. W.; Fung, J. J.; Bokoch, M. P.; Thian, F. S.; Kobilka, T. S.; Shaw, D. E.; Mueller, L.; Prosser, R. S.; Kobilka, B. K. The dynamic process of beta(2)-adrenergic receptor activation. *Cell* **2013**, *152*, 532–542.

(3) Boehr, D. D.; Nussinov, R.; Wright, P. E. The role of dynamic conformational ensembles in biomolecular recognition. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2009**, *5*, 789–796.

(4) Rastogi, V. K.; Girvin, M. E. Structural changes linked to proton translocation by subunit c of the ATP synthase. *Nature* **1999**, *402*, 263–268.

(5) Boyken, S. E.; Benhaim, M. A.; Busch, F.; Jia, M. X.; Bick, M. J.; Choi, H.; Klima, J. C.; Chen, Z. B.; Walkey, C.; Mileant, A.; Sahasrabuddhe, A.; Wei, K. Y.; Hodge, E. A.; Byron, S.; Quijano-Rubio, A.; Sankaran, B.; King, N. P.; Lippincott-Schwartz, J.; Wysocki, V. H.; Lee, K. K.; Baker, D. De novo design of tunable, pH-driven conformational changes. *Science* **2019**, *364*, 658–664.

(6) Murtaugh, M. L.; Fanning, S. W.; Sharma, T. M.; Terry, A. M.; Horn, J. R. A combinatorial histidine scanning library approach to engineer highly pH-dependent protein switches. *Protein Sci.* **2011**, *20*, 1619–1631.

(7) Hervo-Hansen, S.; Hojgaard, C.; Johansson, K. E.; Wang, Y.; Wahni, K.; Young, D.; Messens, J.; Teilum, K.; Lindorff-Larsen, K.; Winther, J. R. Charge interactions in a highly charge-depleted protein. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 2500–2508.

(8) Lizatovic, R.; Aurelius, O.; Stenstrom, O.; Drakenberg, T.; Akke, M.; Logan, D. T.; Andre, I. A de novo designed coiled-coil peptide with a reversible pH-induced oligomerization switch. *Structure* **2016**, *24*, 946–955.

(9) Goodman, C. M.; Choi, S.; Shandler, S.; DeGrado, W. F. Foldamers as versatile frameworks for the design and evolution of function. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2007**, *3*, 252–262.

(10) Hill, D. J.; Mio, M. J.; Prince, R. B.; Hughes, T. S.; Moore, J. S. A field guide to foldamers. *Chem. Rev.* **2001**, *101*, 3893–4011.

(11) Guichard, G.; Huc, I. Synthetic foldamers. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 5933-5941.

(12) Horne, W. S.; Gellman, S. H. Foldamers with heterogeneous backbones. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1399-1408.

(13) Le Bailly, B. A.; Clayden, J. Dynamic foldamer chemistry. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 4852–4863.

(14) Pollastrini, M.; Marafon, G.; Clayden, J.; Moretto, A. Lightmediated control of activity in a photosensitive foldamer that mimics an esterase. *Chem. Commun.* **2021**, *57*, 2269–2272.

(15) Furukawa, K.; Oba, M.; Toyama, K.; Opiyo, G. O.; Demizu, Y.; Kurihara, M.; Doi, M.; Tanaka, M. Low pH-triggering changes in peptide secondary structures. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2017**, *15*, 6302– 6305.

(16) Marafon, G.; Crisma, M.; Masato, A.; Plotegher, N.; Bubacco, L.; Moretto, A. Photoresponsive prion-mimic foldamer to induce controlled protein aggregation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 5173–5178.

(17) Rodriguez, R.; Suarez-Picado, E.; Quinoa, E.; Riguera, R.; Freire, F. A stimuli-responsive macromolecular gear: interlocking dynamic helical polymers with foldamers. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 8616–8622.

(18) Crisma, M.; De Zotti, M.; Formaggio, F.; Peggion, C.; Moretto, A.; Toniolo, C. Handedness preference and switching of peptide helices. Part II: Helices based on noncoded alpha-amino acids. *J. Pept. Sci.* **2015**, *21*, 148–177.

(19) Fukuda, M.; Rodriguez, R.; Fernandez, Z.; Nishimura, T.; Hirose, D.; Watanabe, G.; Quinoa, E.; Freire, F.; Maeda, K. Macromolecular helicity control of poly(phenyl isocyanate)s with a single stimuli-responsive chiral switch. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 7906–7909.

(20) Pijper, D.; Feringa, B. L. Molecular transmission: Controlling the twist sense of a helical polymer with a single light-driven molecular motor. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 3693–3696.

(21) Miyagawa, T.; Furuko, A.; Maeda, K.; Katagiri, H.; Furusho, Y.; Yashima, E. Dual memory of enantiomeric helices in a polyacetylene induced by a single enantiomer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 5018–5019.

(22) Yu, Z. L.; Hecht, S. Reversible and quantitative denaturation of amphiphilic oligo(azobenzene) foldamers. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 1640–1643.

(23) Ousaka, N.; Shimizu, K.; Suzuki, Y.; Iwata, T.; Itakura, M.; Taura, D.; Iida, H.; Furusho, Y.; Mori, T.; Yashima, E. Spiroboratebased double-stranded helicates: meso-to-racemo isomerization and ion-triggered springlike motion of the racemo-helicate. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 17027–17039.

(24) Ohta, E.; Sato, H.; Ando, S.; Kosaka, A.; Fukushima, T.; Hashizume, D.; Yamasaki, M.; Hasegawa, K.; Muraoka, A.; Ushiyama, H.; Yamashita, K.; Aida, T. Redox-responsive molecular helices with highly condensed pi-clouds. *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 68–73.

(25) Parks, F. C.; Liu, Y.; Debnath, S.; Stutsman, S. R.; Raghavachari, K.; Flood, A. H. Allosteric control of photofoldamers for selecting between anion regulation and double-to-single helix switching. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 17711–17723.

(26) Barboiu, M.; Lehn, J. M. Dynamic chemical devices: Modulation of contraction/extension molecular motion by coupledion binding/pH change-induced structural switching. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2002**, *99*, 5201–5206.

(27) Gole, B.; Kauffmann, B.; Maurizot, V.; Huc, I.; Ferrand, Y. Light-controlled conformational switch of an aromatic oligoamide foldamer. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 8063–8067.

(28) Dolain, C.; Maurizot, V.; Huc, I. Protonation-induced transition between two distinct helical conformations of a synthetic oligomer via a linear intermediate. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 2738–2740.

(29) De Poli, M.; Zawodny, W.; Quinonero, O.; Lorch, M.; Webb, S. J.; Clayden, J. Conformational photoswitching of a synthetic peptide foldamer bound within a phospholipid bilayer. *Science* **2016**, *352*, 575–580.

(30) Furlan, R. L. E.; Ng, Y. F.; Otto, S.; Sanders, J. K. M. A new cyclic pseudopeptide receptor for Li+ from a dynamic combinatorial library. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8876–8877.

(31) Lam, R. T. S.; Belenguer, A.; Roberts, S. L.; Naumann, C.; Jarrosson, T.; Otto, S.; Sanders, J. K. M. Amplification of acetylcholine-binding catenanes from dynamic combinatorial libraries. *Science* **2005**, *308*, 667–669.

(32) Ponnuswamy, N.; Cougnon, F. B. L.; Clough, J. M.; Pantos, G. D.; Sanders, J. K. M. Discovery of an Organic Trefoil Knot. *Science* **2012**, 338, 783–785.

(33) Gianga, T. M.; Pantos, G. D. Structurally divergent dynamic combinatorial chemistry on racemic mixtures. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, *11*, 3528.

(34) Kramer, R.; Lehn, J. M.; Marquisrigault, A. Self-recognition in helicate self-assembly: Spontaneous formation of helical metalcomplexes from mixtures of ligands and metal-ions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1993**, *90*, 5394–5398.

(35) Corbett, P. T.; Leclaire, J.; Vial, L.; West, K. R.; Wietor, J. L.; Sanders, J. K. M.; Otto, S. Dynamic combinatorial chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 3652–3711.

(36) Lehn, J. M. From supramolecular chemistry towards constitutional dynamic chemistry and adaptive chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, *36*, 151–160.

(37) Case, M. A.; McLendon, G. L. A virtual library approach to investigate protein folding and internal packing. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 8089–8090.

(38) Oh, K.; Jeong, K. S.; Moore, J. S. Folding-driven synthesis of oligomers. *Nature* **2001**, *414*, 889–893.

(39) Pappas, C. G.; Mandal, P. K.; Liu, B.; Kauffmann, B.; Miao, X.; Komáromy, D.; Hoffmann, W.; Manz, C.; Chang, R.; Liu, K.; Pagel, K.; Huc, I.; Otto, S. Emergence of low-symmetry foldamers from single monomers. *Nat. Chem.* **2020**, *12*, 1180–1186.

(40) Liu, B.; Pappas, C. G.; Zangrando, E.; Demitri, N.; Chmielewski, P. J.; Otto, S. Complex molecules that fold like proteins can emerge spontaneously. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 1685–1689. (41) Kalenius, E.; Groessl, M.; Rissanen, K. Ion mobility-mass spectrometry of supramolecular complexes and assemblies. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2019**, *3*, 4–14.

(42) Lanucara, F.; Holman, S. W.; Gray, C. J.; Eyers, C. E. The power of ion mobility-mass spectrometry for structural characterization and the study of conformational dynamics. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 281–294.

(43) Yeates, T. O.; Kent, S. B. H. Racemic protein crystallography. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **2012**, *41*, 41–61.

(44) Wukovitz, S. W.; Yeates, T. O. Why protein crystals favor some space-groups over others. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **1995**, *2*, 1062–1067.

(45) Mandal, P. K.; Collie, G. W.; Kauffmann, B.; Huc, I. Racemic

DNA crystallography. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 14424-14427.