



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, 54, 5078

Received 25th March 2018,
Accepted 19th April 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8cc02360c

rsc.li/chemcomm

Carbohydrate binding through first- and second-sphere coordination within aromatic oligoamide metallofoldamers†

Pedro Mateus,^{ib} a Barbara Wicher,^b Yann Ferrand^{ib} *^a and Ivan Huc^{ib} *^{a,c}

Aromatic oligoamide capsules that fold upon metal binding recognize carbohydrate guests in solution as evidenced by CD and NMR titrations. Crystallographic data reveal that, besides their structural role, metal ions also contribute to guest recognition through either first- or second-sphere coordination.

The design of molecular receptors for the recognition of a given complex target guest remains a challenging task. Early approaches aimed at building macro(poly)cyclic architectures¹ or self-assembled capsules² designed to surround their substrates and establish multiple complementary interactions through pre-organized convergent functionalities. However, the design and synthesis of molecular receptors is frequently hampered by a lack of precise knowledge about the conformational features of both receptors and substrates and by hurdles associated with the synthesis of elaborate and relatively large structures that are difficult to further modify and optimize.

Nature has selected folding as a means to create well defined cavities with atomically precise arrays of chemical groups in space that achieve high binding affinity and selectivity. Having been inspired by this, chemists have developed oligomeric sequences that also rely on folding to produce well defined architectures in solution, *i.e.* foldamers.³ In particular, helical structures may possess a cavity suitable for the recognition of cations,⁴ anions⁵ or neutral molecules.⁶ Furthermore, foldamer helices have been designed in such a way that they have a

reduced diameter at each extremity and a wider diameter at the centre, thereby forming capsules that enclose guest molecules and isolate them from the surrounding medium (Fig. 1a, right).⁷ In aza-aromatic oligoamide capsules, numerous hydrogen bond donors and acceptors decorate the helix inner wall and promote tight, selective, and diastereoselective binding of polyhydroxylated guests such as organic hydroxy-acids^{7c,g} and monosaccharides.^{7f}

In an effort to extend the potential for tight and selective guest binding of aromatic oligoamide-based capsules, we have recently described aromatic oligoamide capsule oligomer **1** (Fig. 1b). In this sequence, the central pyz-pyr-pyz segment (Fig. 1c) coordinates the transition metal ions⁸ Cu⁺, Cu²⁺ and Ag⁺ as well as the alkali metals Na⁺ and K⁺.⁹ In all cases the metal ion sits on the cavity wall leaving part of its coordination sphere occupied solely by solvent molecules and thus available to bind a guest (Fig. 1a). Coordination bonds to the guest may indeed enhance the binding affinity, while the capsule shell gives rise to shape and size selectivity. Here we show that the metal complexes of sequences **1** and **2** can be used for the binding of complex chiral guests such as carbohydrates by taking advantage of first- and/or second-sphere coordination.

The inner volume of the helical capsule **1** is relatively modest and may be suitable for small carbohydrates. Titrations of **1**, **1-Na**⁺ and **1-K**⁺ with four-carbon carbohydrate D-threitol **3** at 298 K in CHCl₃/DMSO (9:1, vol/vol) were monitored by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy. In the absence of a metal ion, sequence **1** was found not to bind D-**3** (Fig. 2a). This was attributed to the fact that when no metal is present, the pyz-pyr-pyz segment exists in an *anti-anti* conformation favoured by repulsion between endocyclic nitrogen atoms that confers the oligomer with an extended conformation instead of the capsular shape.⁸ Coordination of Na⁺ and K⁺ induces folding of sequence **1** into a capsular shape by favouring a *syn-syn* conformation of the pyz-pyr-pyz monomer.⁹

We then found that capsule **1-Na**⁺ binds to D-**3**, with a modest affinity ($K_a = 145 \text{ M}^{-1}$) and with poor diastereoselectivity as evidenced by the small induced CD signal observed (Fig. 2b). In contrast, **1-K**⁺ binds the same guest almost 3 times stronger ($K_a = 400 \text{ M}^{-1}$) and shows much higher diastereoselectivity

^a Université de Bordeaux, CNRS, Bordeaux Institut National Polytechnique, CBMN (UMR 5248), IECB, 2 rue Robert Escarpit, F-33600 Pessac, France. E-mail: y.ferrand@cbmn.u-bordeaux.fr

^b Department of Chemical Technology of Drugs, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Grunwaldzka 6, 60-780 Poznan, Poland

^c Department of Pharmacy, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Butenandtstraße 5-13, D-81377 München, Germany. E-mail: ivan.huc@cup.tmu.de

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic procedures and characterisation of **2** and of the metal complexes of **1** and **2**; procedures for titrations and crystallographic experiments. CCDC 1832021 (**1-K**⁺ ⊃ D/L-**3**), 1832020 (**1-Cu**²⁺ ⊃ D/L-**3**) and 1832022 (**2-Cu**²⁺ ⊃ D/L-**5**). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format, see DOI: 10.1039/c8cc02360c




Fig. 1 (a) Principle of helical-capsule folding upon metal coordination followed by metal-assisted guest encapsulation. (b) Oligoamide sequences **1** and **2**. Note that amide orientation with respect to the sequence is inverted at each of the diamine and diacid sites. The two terminal Q units have an 8-nitro group instead of an 8-amino function. (c) Letter and colour codes of the amino acid, diamine, and diacid monomers. (d) Formulae of guest molecules: D-threitol, **D-3**; xylitol, **4**; D-mannopyranose, **D-5**; D-glucopyranose, **D-6**; D-galactopyranose, **D-7**; D-fructopyranose, **D-8**. The monosaccharides are depicted in their pyranose form although in solution they exist as mixtures of α/β -pyranoses and α/β -furanoses.

(Fig. 2c) with a negative band suggestive of a preferred *M* handedness.¹⁰ A ¹H NMR titration of **1-K⁺** with *D/L*-**3** at 298 K in CDCl₃/DMSO-*d*₆ (9:1, vol/vol) gave consistent results ($K_a = 370 \text{ M}^{-1}$). Only one set of host-guest complex signals were observed, which confirms the quantitative diastereoselectivity of the association (Fig. S8 in the ESI†). For comparison, a ¹H NMR titration of **1-K⁺** with a slightly larger carbohydrate, xylitol (**4**), revealed a lower binding ($K_a = 150 \text{ M}^{-1}$; see Fig. S9 in the ESI†), presumably because **4** cannot properly fit inside the cavity. Binding studies using the Cu²⁺ complex of **1** showed that **1-Cu²⁺** binds **D-3** almost 14 times stronger than **1-K⁺** ($K_a = 5500 \text{ M}^{-1}$) and adopts the opposite helix handedness (Fig. 2d).

Next, we sought to obtain structural information on the complexes. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by slow diffusion of hexane into solutions of **1-K⁺** \supset *D/L*-**3** and **1-Cu²⁺** \supset *D/L*-**3** in 2:98 MeOH/CHCl₃ (vol/vol).

The crystal structure of **1-K⁺** \supset *D/L*-**3** (Fig. 3a and c) validated (i) the complete encapsulation of the guest; (ii) the position of the metal ion on the cavity wall *via* tridentate coordination to pyz-pyr-pyz (see also Fig. S10 in the ESI†); and (iii) the handedness




Fig. 2 Induced CD spectra upon binding of **D-3** by (a) **1**, (b) **1-Na⁺**, (c) **1-K⁺**, and (d) **1-Cu²⁺** at 298 K, [host] = 60 μM , 9:1 CHCl₃/DMSO (vol/vol). The red-coloured lines in (a–d) reflect the molar ellipticity of the hosts in the presence of **D-3** at concentrations of 21 (a), 19 (b), 32 (c) and 2 mM (d).




Fig. 3 Solid-state structures of the association between **D-3** and complexes **M-1-K⁺** (a) and **P-1-Cu²⁺** (b). Top view of **M-1-K⁺** \supset **D-3** (c) and **P-1-Cu²⁺** \supset **D-3** (d) showing the heterocycles that interact with the guest molecules. Dashed blue lines indicate hydrogen bonds. Details of these hydrogen bonds (distances, angles) can be found in Tables S3 and S6 (ESI†). (e) Numbering of the monomers of sequence **1** used in this figure. Isobutoxy side chains, cavity-excluded solvent molecules and counterions are omitted for clarity. The capsule backbone is represented in sticks. Potassium and copper atoms are represented in purple and bronze scaled balls, respectively.

preference indicated by CD (**D-3** is bound by the *M* helix). The structure also revealed bidentate coordination of the two central hydroxy groups of the guest. Furthermore, hydrogen bonds are established between the guest's peripheral hydroxy groups and endocyclic nitrogen atoms of the cavity walls.

Table 1 Calculated cavity volume

Capsule	Volume ^a (Å ³)	P. C. ^b (%)
1-K ⁺ ^c	148	—
1-Cu ²⁺ ^d	144	—
2-Cu ²⁺ ^e	249	—
1-K ⁺ ⊃ D-3	139	68
1-Cu ²⁺ ⊃ D-3	165	57
2-Cu ²⁺ ⊃ D-5	294	43

^a Volume of capsule cavities determined using SURFNET v1.4 (see the ESI). ^b Packing coefficients defined here as the ratio of the guest volume to the host cavity volume. Volumes of guests D-3 and D-5 were found to be 94 and 128 Å³, respectively. ^c From ref. 9. In this case the cavity of 1-K⁺ is occupied by water molecules. ^d From ref. 8. In this case the cavity of 1-Cu²⁺ is occupied by an acetonitrile molecule. ^e Predicted capsule structure obtained by molecular modelling (MMFFs force field) using Maestro v6.5.

The volume fraction of the cavity occupied by the guest was calculated using SURFNET¹¹ and reaches 68% (Table 1), well above the common benchmark of 55%.¹² Interestingly, the volume of the cavity in the 1-K⁺ ⊃ D/L-3 complex is slightly smaller than when the cavity of 1-K⁺ is occupied solely by water molecules⁹ (139 versus 148 Å³; see Table 1), which means that there is a contraction of the foldamer structure to better accommodate the guest.

The crystal structure of 1-Cu²⁺ ⊃ D/L-3 (Fig. 3b and d) also corroborated CD data and showed an opposite handedness preference (D-3 is bound by the *P* helix). The Cu(II) centre displays a square-pyramidal stereochemistry with three nitrogen atoms of the pyz-pyr-pyz monomer and an oxygen atom of a water molecule defining the basal plane. An oxygen atom of a carbonyl group of the quinoline monomer occupies the apical position, which creates an angle between the quinoline monomers that leads to a conformation in which the capsule is slightly open in one of the extremities. This is reflected in the larger cavity volume found for 1-Cu²⁺ ⊃ D/L-3 (165 Å³) compared with the cavity of 1-Cu²⁺ (144 Å³) only occupied by an acetonitrile molecule (Table 1).⁸ It is not clear whether the coordination of the main chain amide carbonyl is the cause or the consequence of the distortion and volume enlargement of the capsule to accommodate the guest. The two events are likely to be synergistic.

Interestingly, the guest is not directly coordinated to the metal ion but instead is bound through second-sphere coordination in which a coordinated water molecule bridges the metal ion and the guest. This result was surprising, taking into account that 1-Cu²⁺ binds D-3 almost 14 times stronger than 1-K⁺, where direct coordination is in effect. This indicates that Cu²⁺ polarizes the water molecule in such a way that it becomes a very strong hydrogen bond donor. Second-sphere interactions involve two hydroxyl groups at positions 1 and 3 of the threitol molecule. Consequently, the guest is not centrally located, causing the deformation of the capsule cavity.

In order to target larger carbohydrates, a new sequence 2 was prepared (Fig. 1b). It features two additional H monomers that almost double the binding cavity volume with respect to 1 (Table 1). These monomers present a hydrazide carbonyl group towards the binding cavity as a good hydrogen acceptor.^{7f}

CD titrations at 298 K in CHCl₃/DMSO (8:2, vol/vol) showed that 2-Cu²⁺ can bind various monosaccharide guests in solution (see Fig. S4–S7 in the ESI[†]) and revealed a preference for D-mannose 5 (*K*_a = 450 M⁻¹) over D-glucose 6, D-galactose 7 and D-fructose 8 (*K*_a of 270, 100 and 40 M⁻¹, respectively). An X-ray crystal structure of 2-Cu²⁺ ⊃ D/L-5 was eventually obtained (Fig. 4). The Cu(II) centre in 2-Cu²⁺ ⊃ D/L-5 again adopts a square pyramidal geometry, being bound by three nitrogen atoms of the pyz-pyr-pyz monomer and by two oxygen atoms of two water molecules. The water molecule in the apical position is located outside the cavity and establishes a hydrogen bond with a carbonyl oxygen of a quinoline monomer, while the second water molecule is encapsulated and points towards the interior of the cavity. As in the case of 1-Cu²⁺ ⊃ D/L-3, the metal ion does not bind directly to the guest, but instead solvent molecules (a water and a methanol molecule each) bridge the metal ion and the guest. Clearly the cavity is too large to efficiently bind D-5, which occupies only 43% of the total cavity volume (Table 1). This agrees with the moderate affinities found for the mono-saccharide guests. Interestingly, although D-5 exists predominantly (97%) in the α-pyranose form in the 8:2 CHCl₃/DMSO (vol/vol) solution,^{7f} it assumes the β-pyranose form in the 2-Cu²⁺ ⊃ D/L-5 complex.

First-sphere metal coordination to assist molecular recognition has been exemplified before.^{6n,13} A second-coordination sphere interaction between Cu²⁺ hydrates and carbohydrate guests is less common and is related to that previously observed for the binding of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ hydrates within 1.⁹ This points out the strong benefits of polarizing hydrogen bonds through metal ions and also invites us to consider stronger hydrogen bond donors and acceptors such as acidic phenols and pyridine *N*-oxides as main chain features of the foldamer backbones. The three crystal structures described here also constitute important milestones in the challenging area of sugar recognition by synthetic receptors,^{6n,14} a field that had until recently^{9,15} suffered from a complete lack of solid state evidence.




Fig. 4 (a) Side view of the solid-state structure of the complex formed between capsule **M-2-Cu²⁺** and β-D-mannopyranose **5**; (b) top view showing the heterocycles that interact with the guest. Dashed blue lines indicate hydrogen bonds. Hydrogen bonding distances and angles can be found in Table S9 in the ESI[†]; (c) Numbering of the monomers of sequence **2** used in this figure. Isobutoxy side chains and cavity-excluded solvent molecules and counterions are omitted for clarity. The capsule backbone is represented in sticks. Copper atoms are represented by bronze scaled balls.

This work was supported by the European Union (H2020-MSCA-IF-2015-707071 – RAMSES, postdoctoral fellowship to P.M.) and benefited from the facilities and expertise of the Biophysical and Structural Chemistry platform at IECB, CNRS UMS3033, INSERM US001, Bordeaux University, France. We thank Dr B. Kauffmann for assistance with crystallographic data collection.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- (a) R. Warmuth and J. Yoon, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2001, **34**, 95; (b) D. J. Cram, S. Karbach, Y. H. Kim, L. Baczynskij and G. W. Kalleyman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 2575; (c) T. Brotin and J.-P. Dutasta, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 88; (d) F. Sansone, L. Baldini, A. Casnati and R. Ungaro, *New J. Chem.*, 2010, **34**, 2715; (e) P. Mateus, N. Bernier and R. Delgado, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **254**, 1726.
- (a) S. Liu, H. Gan, A. T. Hermann, S. W. Rick and B. C. Gibb, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 847; (b) D. Ajami and J. Rebek Jr., *Nat. Chem.*, 2009, **1**, 87; (c) A. V. Davis and K. N. Raymond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 7912; (d) D. Zuccaccia, L. Pirondini, R. Pinalli, E. Dalcanale and A. Macchioni, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 2452; (e) K. Umamoto, H. Tsukui, T. Kusukawa, K. Biradha and M. Fujita, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 2620; (f) F. J. Rizzuto, W.-Y. Wu, T. K. Ronson and J. R. Nitschke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 7958.
- (a) H. Juwarker, J.-m. Suk and K.-S. Jeong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 3316; (b) I. Saraogia and A. D. Hamilton, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1726; (c) B.-B. Ni, Q. Yan, Y. Ma and D. Zhao, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **254**, 954; (d) K. Yamato, M. Kline and B. Gong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 12142; (e) D.-W. Zhang, X. Zhao and Z.-T. Li, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 1961.
- (a) R. B. Prince, T. Okada and J. S. Moore, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 233; (b) A. Petitjean, L. A. Cuccia, J.-M. Lehn, H. Nierengarten and M. Schmutz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 1195; (c) Y. Zhao and Z. Zhong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 9988; (d) Z. Zhong and Y. Zhao, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 2891; (e) K. Yamato, L. H. Yuan, W. Feng, A. J. Hessel, A. R. Sanford, J. Zhu, J. G. Deng, X. C. Zeng and B. Gong, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2009, **7**, 3643; (f) J.-m. Suk, V. R. Naidu, X. Liu, M. S. Lah and K.-S. Jeong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 13938; (g) J. Shen, C. Ren and H. Zeng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 5387; (h) W. Wang, C. Zhang, S. Qi, X. Deng, B. Yang, J. Liu and Z. Dong, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **83**, 1898; (i) P. Zhang, Z. Wang, L. Zhang, H. Wang, D. Zhang, J. Hou and Z. Li, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2016, **34**, 678.
- (a) K.-J. Chang, B.-N. Kang, M.-H. Lee and K.-S. Jeong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 12214; (b) R. M. Meudtner and S. Hecht, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4926; (c) H. Juwarker, J. M. Lenhardt, D. M. Pham and S. L. Craig, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3740; (d) Y. Hua and A. H. Flood, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 12838; (e) Y. Wang, F. Bie and H. Jiang, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 3630; (f) A. L. Connor, T. Hu, C. S. F. Detchou, R. Liu, S. V. S. R. K. Pulavarti, T. Szyperki, Z. Lu and B. Gong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 9905.
- (a) A. Tanatani, M. J. Mio and J. S. Moore, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 1792; (b) T. Nishinaga, A. Tanatani, K. Oh and J. S. Moore, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 5934; (c) A. Tanatani, T. S. Hughes and J. S. Moore, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 325; (d) Q. Gan, Y. Ferrand, C. Bao, B. Kauffmann, A. Grélard, H. Jiang and I. Huc, *Science*, 2011, **331**, 1172; (e) Q. Gan, Y. Ferrand, N. Chandramouli, B. Kauffmann, C. Aube, D. Dubreuil and I. Huc, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 15656; (f) Q. Gan, X. Wang, B. Kauffmann, F. Rosu, Y. Ferrand and I. Huc, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2017, **12**, 447; (g) V. Berl, M. J. Krische, I. Huc, J.-M. Lehn and M. Schmutz, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2000, **6**, 1938; (h) J.-L. Hou, X.-B. Shao, G.-J. Chen, Y.-X. Zhou, X.-K. Jiang and Z.-T. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 12386; (i) M. Inouye, M. Waki and H. Abe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 2022; (j) H. Abe, H. Machiguchi, S. Matsumoto and M. Inouye, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 4650; (k) C. Li, G.-T. Wang, H.-P. Yi, X.-K. Jiang, Z.-T. Li and R.-X. Wang, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 1797; (l) M. Waki, H. Abe and M. Inouye, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 3059; (m) H.-G. Jeon, J. Y. Jung, P. Kang, M.-G. Choi and K.-S. Jeong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 92; (n) S. Takashima, H. Abe and M. Inouye, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 3330.
- (a) J. Garric, J.-M. Léger and I. Huc, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 1954; (b) C. Bao, B. Kauffmann, Q. Gan, K. Srinivas, H. Jiang and I. Huc, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4153; (c) Y. Ferrand, A. M. Kendhale, B. Kauffmann, A. Grélard, C. Marie, V. Blot, M. Pipelier, D. Dubreuil and I. Huc, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 7858; (d) Y. Hua, Y. Liu, C.-H. Chen and A. H. Flood, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 14401; (e) H. Q. Zhao, S. Sheng, Y. H. Hong and H. Q. Zeng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 14270; (f) N. Chandramouli, Y. Ferrand, G. Lautrette, B. Kauffmann, C. D. Mackereth, M. Laguerre, D. Dubreuil and I. Huc, *Nat. Chem.*, 2015, **7**, 334; (g) G. Lautrette, B. Wicher, B. Kauffmann, Y. Ferrand and I. Huc, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 10314; (h) Y. Ferrand and I. Huc, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 970.
- M. Horeau, G. Lautrette, B. Wicher, V. Blot, J. Lebreton, M. Pipelier, D. Dubreuil, Y. Ferrand and I. Huc, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 6823.
- P. Mateus, B. Wicher, Y. Ferrand and I. Huc, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 9300.
- A. M. Kendhale, L. Poniman, Z. Dong, K. Laxmi-Reddy, B. Kauffmann, Y. Ferrand and I. Huc, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 195.
- R. A. Laskowski, *J. Mol. Graphics*, 1995, **13**, 323.
- S. Mecozzi and J. Rebek Jr., *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 1998, **4**, 1016.
- (a) G. Izzet, B. Douziech, T. Prangé, A. Tomas, I. Jabin, Y. Le Mest and O. Renaud, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2005, **102**, 6831; (b) H. Bakirci, A. L. Koner, M. H. Dickman, U. Kortz and W. M. Nau, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 7400; (c) U. Darbost, O. Sénèque, Y. Li, G. Bertho, J. Marrot, M.-N. Rager, O. Renaud and I. Jabin, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 2078; (d) N. Le Poul, B. Douziech, J. Zeitouny, G. Thiabaud, H. Colas, F. Conan, N. Cosquer, I. Jabin, C. Lagrost, P. Hapiot, O. Renaud and Y. Le Mest, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 17800.
- (a) Y. Ferrand, M. P. Crump and A. P. Davis, *Science*, 2007, **318**, 619; (b) C. Ke, H. Destecroix, M. P. Crump and A. P. Davis, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 718; (c) M. Mazik, H. Cavga and P. G. Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 9045; (d) A. Ardá, C. Venturi, C. Nativi, O. Francesconi, G. Gabrielli, F. J. Cañada, J. Jiménez-Barbero and S. Roelens, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 414; (e) A. Pal, M. Bérubé and D. G. Hall, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 1492.
- P. K. Mandal, B. Kauffmann, H. Destecroix, Y. Ferrand, A. P. Davis and I. Huc, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 9355.